

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION

STEVEN S. SCOTT

PLAINTIFF

v.

Civil No. 06-5202

RANDEL DENZER, Jail
Administrator, Washington
County Detention Center;
SHERIFF McKAY; DETECTIVE
BRADLEY RENFRO,
Fayetteville City Police Department;
and JASON FRENCH, Fayetteville
City Police Department

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Plaintiff's complaint was filed in this case on October 13, 2006. Before the undersigned is the issue of whether the complaint should be served. In order to assist the court in making such determination, it is necessary that plaintiff provide additional information with respect to his claims.

Accordingly, it is ordered that plaintiff, Steven S. Scott, complete and sign the attached addendum to his complaint, and return the same to the court **by December 4, 2006. Plaintiff is advised that should he fail to return the completed and executed addendum by December 4, 2006, his complaint may be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute and/or for failure to obey an order of the court.**

IT IS SO ORDERED this 3rd day of November 2006.

/s/ Beverly Stites Jones
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION

STEVEN S. SCOTT

PLAINTIFF

v.

Civil No. 06-5202

RANDEL DENZER, Jail
Administrator, Washington
County Detention Center;
SHERIFF McKAY; DETECTIVE
BRADLEY RENFRO,
Fayetteville City Police Department;
and JASON FRENCH, Fayetteville
City Police Department

DEFENDANTS

ADDENDUM TO COMPLAINT

TO: STEVEN S. SCOTT

This form is sent to you so that you may assist the court in making a determination as to the issue of whether your complaint should be served upon the defendants. Accordingly, it is required that you fill out this form and send it back to the court **by December 4, 2006**. Failure to do so will result in the dismissal of your complaint.

The response must be legibly handwritten or typewritten, and all questions must be answered completely in the proper space provided on this form. If you need additional space, you may attach additional sheets of paper to this addendum.

RESPONSE

In your complaint, you allege you were arrested on April 7, 2004, and held in city jail for three days before being sent to county jail. During the three days, you state you were not allowed to talk to anyone. You indicate you were not brought before a judge until May 12, 2004.

You allege you were arrested again on May 16, 2004, and held in city jail for two days

before being transferred to county jail. You state you were not brought before a judge until July 7, 2004.

1. With respect to your arrest on April 7, 2004, please state: (1) who arrested you; (2) what charges you were arrested on; (3) whether there was a warrant for your arrest; (4) where you were arrested; and (5) what jail you were taken to following your arrest.

Answer:

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

2. Was your arrest on pending criminal charges the only reason you were incarcerated on April 7, 2004, or were you also being held on a parole or probation violation?

Answer: Yes _____ No _____.

If you answered yes, please state how you were alleged to have violated your parole or probation and indicate whether you were found guilty of having violated your probation or parole.

3. You indicate you were not brought before a judge until May 12, 2004. Please state: (a) what occurred when you went before the judge on May 12th; (b) whether you had an attorney to represent you; and (c) how you believe the fact that you did not appear before a judge until May 12th violated your federal constitutional rights.

Answer:

4. With respect to the April 7, 2004, arrest and subsequent period of incarceration, please state in detail how you believe each of the following defendants violated your federal constitutional rights.

Randel Denzer:

Sheriff McKay

[illegible]

[illegible]

5. With respect to your arrest on May 16, 2004, please state: (1) who arrested you; (2) what charges you were arrested on; (3) whether there was a warrant for your arrest; (4) where you were arrested; and (5) what jail you were taken to following your arrest.

Answer:

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins or other markings on the paper.

6. Was your arrest on pending criminal charges the only reason you were incarcerated on May 16, 2004, or were you also being held on a parole or probation violation?

Answer: Yes _____ No _____.

If you answered yes, please state how you were alleged to have violated your parole or probation and indicate whether you were found guilty of having violated your probation or parole.

7. You indicate you were not brought before a judge until July 7, 2004. Please state: (a) what occurred when you went before the judge on July 7th; (b) whether you had an attorney to represent you; and (c) how you believe the fact that you did not appear before a judge until July 7th violated your federal constitutional rights.

Answer:

8. With respect to the May 16, 2004, arrest and subsequent period of incarceration, please state in detail how you believe each of the following defendants violated your federal constitutional rights.

Sheriff McKay

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

Officer Jason French

STEVEN S. SCOTT

DATE _____